

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,368	11/01/2001	Roger T. Baird	10012879-1	7307

7590 08/16/2004

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

RAYYAN, SUSAN F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2177

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/004,368	BAIRD, ROGER T.	<i>[Signature]</i>
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan F. Rayyan	2177	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 June 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,6-10,14,19-22,25 and 26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,6-10,14,19-22,25 and 26 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 November 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 2-5, 11-13, 15-18, 23-24 and 27 have been canceled.
2. Claims 1, 6-10, 14, 19-22, 25-26 are pending.
3. Information Disclosure Statements filed on November 1, 2001 and May 5, 2003 have been considered (paper# 2,3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 1, 6-10, 14, 19-22, 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Himmel et al. (US 6,324,566) and Burke (US 6,032,162).**

As per independent claims 1,14,25-26 Himmel teaches a processor coupled to a local interface at fig.1, a memory coupled to a local interface at fig. 1, displaying a group indicator (bookmark sets) associated with at least one bookmark group that includes a number of group-associated bookmarks that are maintained on a remote computer system at col.6, lines 42-44,60-63 and fig. 3, Ref. No. 305, and accessing a locator for each of the group-associated bookmarks from the remote computer system, upon an occurrence of a selecting action directed at the group indicator at col. 6, lines 65-67 and col.10, lines 15-20 (Himmel teaches a user selecting a booklist matching user desired category,

downloading the set of bookmarks associated with the selected bookmark list from a location remote from the user and activating the bookmark set to make available to the user the included URLs.), downloading a web page from the remote computer system at col.5, lines 1-3, parsing source code of the web page to extract the locators at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39 and plurality of bookmark indicators from the source code at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39 (Himmel teaches downloading a bookmark set (sets of URLs) and making these bookmarks available to the user. The example describes providing a user access to the bookmark set on cancer and access to the bookmarks contained within the set. The bookmarks are linked to the web pages containing articles on the topic of cancer. These bookmarks have text (bookmark indicators) describing the each article.)

Himmel does not explicitly teach displaying a number of bookmark indicators, each of the bookmark indicators being associated with a respective one of the group-associated bookmarks however Burke does teach this limitation at col. 7, lines 10-24. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention to combine the cited references for the purpose of viewing and selecting a bookmark within the list col.7, 18-19.

As per claims 6,19 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches:

wherein the parsing includes examining the source code for anchor tags at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39. (Himmel teaches downloading a

bookmark set and making these bookmarks available to the user. The example describes providing a user access to the bookmark set on cancer and access to the bookmarks contained within the set. The bookmarks are linked to the web pages containing articles on the topic of cancer. These bookmarks have text (bookmark indicators) describing the each article.)

As per claims 7,20 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches:

wherein the parsing includes examining the anchor tags to determine whether links associated with the anchor tags are local links at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39. (Himmel teaches downloading a bookmark set and making these bookmarks available to the user.

As per claims 8,21 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches:

wherein the parsing includes extracting text from the anchor tags for the bookmark indicators at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39. (Himmel teaches downloading a bookmark set and making these bookmarks available to the user. The example describes providing a user access to the bookmark set on cancer and access to the bookmarks contained within the set. The bookmarks are linked to the web pages containing articles on the topic of cancer. These bookmarks would have text (bookmark indicators) describing the each article.)

As per claims 9,22 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches:
wherein the selecting action includes clicking on the group indicator at col. 6, lines 61-63.

As per claim 10 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches:
wherein the bookmark indicators are stored on the computer system ... at col. 10, lines 6-8.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on June 8, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
7. Regarding Applicant's argument that Himmel does not teach parsing the source code Examiner respectfully disagrees. Himmel's sublist categories clearly teach the ability to parse bookmark content via the sublist (Himmel, col. 10, lines 43-47).

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

Art Unit: 2177

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Rayyan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0311. The examiner can normally be reached M-F: 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on 703-305-9790. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for Official communications, (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications and (703) 746-7240 for Status inquires and draft communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Susan Rayyan


August 5, 2004


ALFORD KINDRED
PRIMARY EXAMINER